

# **CUSTOMER FORUM FOR WATER**

## **Briefing Paper on Prospects for Water Charges Affordability**

**2018 Update**

**John McLaren**

**November 2018**

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 2018**

- Living Standards (as measured by the change in Real Terms Disposable Household Income) are expected to be close to standstill in the coming 2-3 years. This is an improvement on the declines experienced in 2016 and 2017 but is poor by historical standards.
- The standstill is due to any real terms increase in wage related earnings being largely offset by lower government benefits.
- In distributional terms, lower income households are likely to perform worse than the average and wealthier ones better.
- Scottish household income has underperformed the UK average of late and is forecast to continue to do so.
- Water charges remain relatively low in Scotland, compared to the rest of the UK.

## **Introduction**

This Briefing Paper updates last years, more detailed, version (attached as Annex A) looking at the prospects for affordability by households of water charges in coming years.

The paper concentrates on the prospects for Living Standards, as proxied by real terms Disposable Household Income (RDHI).

The key influences on this measure will be:

- inflation;
- wages; and
- changes to taxes and benefits.

The paper also emphasises some of the uncertainties that currently affect such forecasts, in particular with respect to the outcome from Brexit.

## **Recent and expected changes in Living Standards**

Recent years, 2015 aside, have seen little improvement in the level of Living Standards, as shown in Table 1.

The reason for this sluggish performance varies over time. Initially it was down to falling wages (in real terms) but more recently it has been due to changes to taxes and benefits (e.g. rising taxes and falling benefits) that have held back growth in household disposable income.

Post 2017 the recent declines seen in living standards are expected to end but the relatively poor performance is expected to continue, at least up to 2020. This will be the result of both continuing low real terms growth in wages alongside on-going negative consequences from tax and benefit changes. (See Table 1.)

Table 1: Real Disposable Income per capita (N.B. pre 2018 constituent elements in cash terms)

Year	Total	Labour income (wages)	Net benefits and taxes	Non-labour income
	(real terms)		(cash terms)	
2009	1.0	-0.4	3.3	-0.2
2010	-1.3	0.5	1.1	-0.4
2011	-2.7	1.4	-0.1	0.4
2012	2.1	1.7	1.9	1.4
2013	0.7	3.0	-0.3	0.9
2014	0.3	2.6	-0.3	0.8
2015	4.4	2.6	-0.0	3.1
2016	-0.8	2.3	-0.5	-0.3
2017	-0.8	2.4	-1.2	0.7
		(real terms)		
2018	0.1	0.7	-1.1	0.5
2019	0.0	0.5	-0.6	0.1
2020	0.3	0.5	-0.5	0.3
2021	0.8	0.5	-0.1	0.4
2022	0.9	0.6	0.0	0.3
2023	1.1	0.7	-0.1	0.5

Source: Office for Budget Responsibility (OBR), 'Economic and Fiscal Outlook, October 2018', Chart 3.20 and Table 3.5  
 Note: the constituent elements (Labour Income, Net Benefits and Taxes, Non-Labour Income) pre 2018 are in cash terms, rather than real terms.

### **Change in Living Standards - differences across income groups**

While Table 1 shows the how Living Standards have changed on average, this overall performance is likely to hide different experiences by different income groupings.

Figures 13 and 14 show how changes in taxes and benefits are distributed.

Figure 13 shows that, in the next financial year (2019-20) most households will benefit as a result of the Autumn 2018 Budget. However, taking into account another year of frozen working age benefits means that the poorest households will be worse off.


Figure 14 shows the cumulative effect of tax and benefit changes since 2015. It illustrates how poorer households are expected to be worse off while wealthier ones are better off. This is largely due to changes in income tax allowances and thresholds, combined with the freezing of working age benefits. Note that the distributional impacts would be even more exaggerated if looked at in terms of changes as a % of incomes, rather than in cash terms.

Note too that while the lowest earners will have benefited from significant increases in the minimum wage of late, many of these employees are not from the poorest households.

(Figures 13 and 14 are taken from the Resolution foundation publication 'How to spend it - Autumn Budget 2018 response', October 2018.)


**Figure 13: Tax cuts and benefit increases next year do not offset the impact of the final year of the benefits freeze for those in the bottom half of the income distribution**

Impact of tax and benefit policies on annual net household income, 2019-20 (nominal)



**Figure 14: New tax and benefit policy changes provide a long term boost to both low and high income households**

Impact of tax and benefit policies announced since March 2015 before and after the Budget 2018: 2023-24



## Key variable forecasts

Table 2 shows the latest forecasts by the Office for Budget Responsibility (OBR) for some of the key variables impacting on (real terms) disposable household income.

It shows:

- GDP per capita remaining relatively low at around 1% per annum;
- Inflation (CPI) returning to target (2%) post 2018;
- Earnings rising by >0.5% above inflation post 2018 (although still below the RPI measure until 2022);
- The Labour Market continuing to perform strongly, although future improvements may not be of the same scale as seen in recent years;
- Interest rates gradually rising over time.

Table 2: OBR latest forecasts, annual growth rate (except for interest rates)

Key Variables	Percentage change on a year earlier, unless otherwise stated						
	Outturn	Forecast					
	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
<b>UK economy</b>							
GDP per capita	1.1	0.6	1.0	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.1
<b>Inflation</b>							
CPI	2.7	2.6	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.1	2.0
RPI	3.6	3.5	3.1	3.1	3.2	3.1	3.1
GDP deflator at market prices	2.1	1.9	1.7	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9
<b>Labour market</b>							
Average earnings <sup>1</sup>	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.8	3.0	3.1	3.2
LFS unemployment (% rate)	4.4	4.0	3.7	3.8	3.9	3.9	4.0
<b>Other</b>							
Real household disposable income	-0.2	0.7	0.6	0.9	1.3	1.4	1.6
Interest rate (3 month Libor) <u>level</u>	0.3	0.6	1.0	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.5
House prices	4.6	3.4	3.1	3.1	3.3	3.5	3.8

<sup>1</sup> Wages and salaries divided by employees.

Source: OBR, EFO October 2018, Table 3.10 and Chart 3.7

## Other Issues

### Scotland

As mentioned last year there is a dearth of Scottish specific information available in this area. For example there is no 'Scottish' measure of CPI and no distributional analysis of disposable household income.

In general, Scottish economic and labour market conditions are not dissimilar to those experienced at the UK level and so the UK analysis above keep be taken as a reasonable proxy for what will be happening in Scotland in years to come.

In terms of wages and living standards the Scottish Fiscal Commission (SFC) now publish their own Economic and Fiscal forecasts which includes useful information in this area. Table 3 shows that Scotlands performance has been poorer than the UK's in recent years, and that this is expected to continue in future years.

Table 3: Real Disposable Household Income per capita

	UK Total	Scotland	Difference
2009	1.0	0.0	1.0
2010	-1.3	0.5	-1.8
2011	-2.7	-2.4	-0.3
2012	2.1	0.4	1.7
2013	0.7	0.3	0.4
2014	0.3	0.0	0.3
2015	4.4	2.4	2.0
2016	-0.8	-1.9	1.1
2017	-0.8	-1.2	0.4
2018	0.1	-0.5	0.6
2019	0.0	-0.4	0.4
2020	0.3	-0.1	0.4
2021	0.8	0.4	0.4
2022	0.9	0.7	0.2
2023	1.1	0.9	0.2

Source: Office for Budget Responsibility (OBR), 'Economic and Fiscal Outlook, October 2018', Chart 3.20 and Table 3.5; Scottish Fiscal Commission, Economic and Fiscal Forecast, May 2018, Table S2.5


In terms of the growth in real wages, Scotland performance post 2009 has been poor but with signs of some pick up since 2015. (See Figure 1 below.)

Most recently (in the year to April 2018) UK survey data shows that 'Median full-time gross weekly earnings' (i.e. in CASH terms) grew by 3% in Scotland, slightly below the UK average of 3.5%.

Looking to the future, the SFC forecast (May 2018) that Scottish earnings growth improves, growing by 1.9%, 2.3%, 2.7%, 2.9% in financial years 2019-20 to 2022-23. This is above CPI (post 2019-20) but below RPI and below rises forecast for the UK as a whole (as shown in Table 2).

Figure 1: Growth in real wages in Scotland

## Real Wages in Scotland



Source: Scottish Fiscal Commission, Economic and Fiscal Forecast, May 2018

Note: data sources: ASHE = Annual Survey of Hours and Earnings; LFS = Labour Force Survey.

### Spending on Water

Latest Office for National Statistics (ONS) data on disaggregated household expenditure shows that Scottish households spent less on water charges, £7.60 per week, than in any other UK region (excluding Northern Ireland where there are no water charges). This figure equates to around 1.5% of total average weekly expenditure by Scottish households.

**Spending on water charges (data refers to “*Water supply and miscellaneous services relating to a dwelling*”, averaged over the period 2014-15 to 2016-17):**

- **Lowest: Scotland - £7.60**
- **Next lowest: Yorkshire & Humberside - £8.10**
- **Average: UK - £8.90**
- **Highest: London - £11.70**
- **Highest exc London: South West - £10.00**

## **Uncertainties**

A higher than usual degree of uncertainty continues to apply to all economic and financial forecasts. These relate to a variety of sources

### **Brexit**

Brexit remains the biggest source of uncertainty.

On the up-side, while the OBR forecasts are predicated on a reasonably 'soft' form of Brexit, the UK Chancellor of the Exchequer highlighted at the Budget that a 'good' Brexit deal could allow for the £15 billion contingency fund currently being held back to be used elsewhere. It might also improve future growth prospects, although the OBR themselves were largely sceptical of such a growth boost.

On the downside, a 'bad' Brexit deal, which might call for more than the current £15 billion contingency fund being needed. It might also worsen future growth prospects, which the OBR would be more likely to adjust for.

There have been a variety of forecasts made of the potential impacts of Brexit on the economy, inflation, wages etc. The vast majority assume a negative impact but there is a wide range of estimates, dependent on (a) the forecaster and (b) the scenario employed (Hard, Canada, Norway etc). Recent examples suggest that a no-deal Brexit could cost UK households from around £1,000 (Oliver Wyman consultants) up to £2,700 a year (Standard & Poor's ratings agency), with the impact disproportionately felt by poorer households.

### **Productivity**

The disappearance of productivity growth in much of the past decade is one of the great economic mysteries of our time. Initially productivity growth was expected to return to historic levels but such expectations have been repeatedly down graded over time by the OBR (and the SFC). Without a return to productivity growth the prospects for a wide range of economic and fiscal variables remain poor, including: GDP; wages; and public spending.

Productivity growth (essentially producing more output with the same volume of inputs by improving efficiency, innovation, management etc) is key to improving wage growth as without it there is little incentive for employers to increase employees pay levels (as they would be reducing their own profits if they did so). In terms of its impact over time, the decline of productivity dwarfs the impact, in the medium to long term, of any Brexit impact.

### **Taxes and Benefits**

UK and Scottish Governments decisions on tax rates and bands, as well as on benefit levels, can have a serious impact on how living standards change over time and how this change is distributed. For example, post the 2008 recession poorer households income was protected through inflation proof rises in benefits. However, more recently the same households incomes have been undermined by a freeze in many working age benefits.

At present it is difficult to guess what the future holds, although the continued protection, in relative terms, of pensioner households seems likely.

## **Overview**

### **Post 2017 vs 2013-2017**

Prospects for living standards remain fairly dismal in terms of any return to historical norms, especially for the poorest H/H's.

This is largely due to slow growth in wages (in real terms), as well negative impacts from taxes and benefits.

### **Scotland**

The income of H/H's in Scotland has performed slightly worse, on average, to those in the UK.

### **Uncertainty**

Brexit remains the crucial uncertainty in the short run, but poor productivity growth dominates in the longer term.

## **ANNEX A - 2017 BRIEFING PAPER**

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 2017**

#### **Whats happened since 2013?**

- Households (H/H's) have done notably worse than expected in terms of real wages....
- .... but this has been compensated for by: (i) protected benefit levels; (ii) low inflation; and (iii) a rising employment rate.
- As a result, real terms disposable household income (HDI) has, by and large, behaved as expected. That is, it has grown, but at a relatively low rate.
- In general, poorer H/H's have fared relatively better than wealthy ones and pensioner H/H's have fared better than working H/H's.

#### **Whats going to happen, up to 2020?**

- Current above target inflation has led to the return of falling real wages, further bolstered by an on-going 1% cap on public sector wage rises and by planned (non pension) benefits freeze.
- This mixture will hit poorer and working H/H's hardest.
- In general, HDI is forecast to continue to grow relatively slowly, but to fall for poorest H/H's and be static for working H/H's.

#### **Big Picture**

- Historical break in post WWII patterns seen since 2008 looks likely to continue, so no return to past norms of across-the-board and consistent rising wages and living standards.
- In terms of HDI annual growth, half century average to 2007 was almost 3%, since 2007 has been 1% and this rate is forecast to continue to 2020.
- Recent fall in inequality likely to be reversed in coming years, as positive employment trends end, inflation returns and working age benefits are frozen.
- Continued protection of pensions means elderly H/H's will continue to do relatively well.
- Wealth effects are also having an impact on living standards, in particular in relation to older H/H's being 'housing rich' and younger H/H's being 'housing poor', 'pension poor' and 'education indebted'.
- In comparison to the past, pensioners now have fewer affordability concerns, whereas young working age H/H's have more.
- Uncertainty remains historically high, in relation to: world growth; Brexit; the euro; inflation; party political volatility, etc.
- One particular risk is the impact of a return to a more 'normal' (3%) interest rate level and the impact that would have across differently indebted households.

#### **Scotland**

- In some cases (e.g. inflation) separate data is not available for Scotland, but where it is most patterns affecting affordability have been similar in Scotland to those seen at the UK level.
- As a result, HDI has seen similar shifts in recent past and similar shifts are also expected in the near future.

## Introduction

In attempting to estimate how affordable water charges will be in the coming years a number of different, and difficult to predict, elements need to be taken into account. These elements all have a bearing on household living standards and therefore on the affordability of any paid for service.

The best measure of such living standards is probably 'real terms equivalised disposable household income'. This construct is derived in the following way:

ORIGINAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME  
(e.g. earnings)

Plus

CASH BENEFITS  
(e.g. state pension)

Less

TAXES  
(e.g. Income Tax)

Equals

HOUSEHOLD DISPOSABLE INCOME

Adjusted for

INFLATION  
(e.g. CPI)

and Adjusted for

HOUSEHOLD MAKE UP  
(e.g. size and ages of household)

Equals

REAL TERMS EQUIVALISED HOUSEHOLD DISPOSABLE INCOME

(NOTE: in order to simplify matters, this term will be shortened to the more manageable Household Disposable Income, or HDI, for the remainder of this report.)

As can be seen, such a calculation is influenced by a number of economic factors, including:

- earnings;
- labour market participation;
- central and local government taxes and benefits;
- inflation.

This Briefing Note will look at each of these factors in turn before concentrating on the prospects for HDI in the coming years.


## Inflation

### From predictable to unpredictable

Between 1993 and 2007, annual UK inflation (CPI) kept within the narrow and acceptable (to the UK Government and the Bank of England) range of 1.2% to 2.6% in all but one year (0.8% in 2000). Since then it has ranged between 4.5% (2011) and 0% (2015).

As a result of this greater variation in out-turn it has become extremely difficult to forecast inflation, as the Bank of England's (BoE) Inflation Report charts below illustrate. The May 2013 central prediction of around 2% post 2013 turned out to be well above the out-turn (as of May 2017), which was at the extreme lower edge of its fan chart forecast.

The key elements that have been driving this increased volatility have been 'food' and, fuel price related, 'transport' costs. In the case of 'food' this went from averaging above 5% a year (2008-2011) to being negative (2014 to 2016). In the case of the 'transport' it went from around 8% (2010-11) to near zero or below (2014-2016).




While discussion of inflation tends to be in general terms there are actually 3 different measures that are regularly referred to:

- RPI: the old 'standard' measure but no longer an official National Statistic, due to errors in its methodology;
- CPI: the 'standard' measure currently used by the UK Government and the Bank of England;
- CPIH: as CPI but including a housing cost element with a weighting of 20%. *(Note: this definition is actually the ONS's preferred one for measuring household inflation but is not currently a National Statistic, due to methodological issues over the housing element. As a result CPI remains the headline figure announced.)*

As Chart 1 shows, even over a relatively short period these different measures of inflation can vary notably and can do so in an inconsistent way i.e. one measure is not consistently higher or lower than another.

Chart 1: Recent changes in main UK inflation rates




Source: ONS

Chart 2 below shows the impact of including an owner occupied housing (OOH) element, going back to 2007. This highlights that its inclusion reduced inflation from 2009 to 2013 (especially in the earlier years) but increased it in 2015 to 2016. The impact of using CPIH instead of CPI would be increase the spending power of households in the earlier years but to worsen it in the later years.

*(Note: the conversion from cash to real terms in most of the charts shown in the Briefing Note use CPI due to the methodological issues referred to above. However, it could be argued that CPIH is a more appropriate measure as housing costs, whether through rental or mortgage payments, is a significant expense for most households, although CPIH does not adjust for mortgage payments but rather for imputed rent).*

Chart 2: UK inflation: UK consumer price inflation statistical bulletin, May 2017 (ONS)

Figure 1: CPIH, OOH component and CPI 12-month rates for the last 10 years: May 2007 to May 2017



Source: Office for National Statistics

At present forecasts of future inflation remain highly uncertain, as both the earlier (BoE May 2017) fan chart and the forecasts in Annex 1, Table A2, illustrate. The OBR forecast is for inflation to converge on the official 2% target rate, but this has been its forecast for many years now while the reality has been a series of under or overshooting outcomes. Such unpredictability, especially in the light of events like Brexit and erratic oil prices, is likely to continue.

## The Labour Market

### Wages/Earnings

One long term impact of the financial crisis of 2008 has been the slowdown in wage growth. Indeed for much of the period since then real terms earnings have been falling, as is shown in Chart 3.

Chart 3: Earnings growth: [Analysis of real earnings, July 2017](#) (ONS)

Figure 3: Average weekly earnings total and regular real pay annual growth rates, whole economy, seasonally adjusted

January to March 2006 to March to May 2017 (3-month average time periods), Great Britain



Source: Monthly Wages and Salaries Survey (MWSS), Office for National Statistics

While Chart 3 shows the average position there will be considerable variation around this, especially with the rise of self employment and zero hour jobs.


A return to real wage growth has been seen of late and was expected to continue, as shown in the latest (March 2017) OBR projections. However, the most recent data casts doubt over this recovery, as real wages have dipped into negative territory again, in part due to the return of above target inflation.

## Employment

In comparison to past recessions the Great Recession of 2008 had less of an impact on employment. As well as the fall in employment being relatively shallow it also recovered quite quickly and is currently at record levels and rates. *(Note: the rising level of employment is not very surprising given the rising UK population. However, attaining the highest employment rate since records began in 1971 is fairly impressive, especially given the low rate of economic growth since 2009.)*

Chart 4 shows the pattern in the employment rate since 2007 for both the UK and for Scotland.

Chart 4: Employment rate (16-64), UK and Scotland (seasonally adjusted), 'Labour Market Monthly Briefing Summary Tables - July 2017', Scottish Government



As the employment rate is already at such an elevated level it will be difficult for it to improve further, although the OBR and other forecasters still expect some increase in employment levels in 2017 and 2018 (see Annex 1, Table A2).

## Taxes & Benefits

The principal impact of taxes and benefits on HDI since the financial crisis has been in relation to UK Government benefits.


Initially these were protected against inflation and so, as earnings fell, those H/H's more dependent on benefit payments were relatively better off.

However, this position has now reversed with a cash freeze on most working age benefits (but not on pensions, which continue to be well protected) for the foreseeable future. This will result in H/H's which are more dependent on such payments being relatively worse off, unless the recent return to stagnant, or falling, earnings continues.

As a result of this shift in how benefits are treated, most forecasters now predict that poorer H/H's will suffer more than wealthier ones in the coming years, see Chart 5 below for example.

Chart 5: [Ending Austerity? Resolution Foundation, July 2017](#)

*Change in income in 2021-22 associated with selected post-2015 general election welfare cuts, by equivalised household income decile*



Notes: Working-age benefit rates (excl. disability benefits premia, statutory entitlements & maternity allowance) will not be increased until April 2020. UC work allowance cuts lower the point at which earnings start to reduce benefit entitlements, with the taper reduction slightly lowering that rate of withdrawal. New tax credit and UC claims will no longer qualify for the 'family element', and no additional payments will be available beyond the first two children. Source: RF modelling using the IPPR tax/benefit model

## Household Disposable Income (HDI)


Bringing together the combined effect of projections for inflation, labour market shifts and changes to tax and benefit levels allows for an assessment of how living standards, as measured by HDI, have changed in recent years and may change in years to come.

Chart 6, below, highlights how the seemingly relentless rise in household income over time has broken down since the crisis of 2008. Indeed, such a breakdown may have occurred even earlier, around the turn of the century, but was obscured by the unsustainable housing and financial service booms.

When a return to more normal growth rates will occur is a matter of conjecture. Meantime the ongoing slowdown has been exceptional. For example, it has set a number of unwanted records,. For example:

- in early 2017 the average basic weekly wage, measured in real terms (i.e. adjusted for inflation) was still below that seen in early 2008 and the Resolution Foundation believes that the 2010s are on course to be the worst decade for wage growth since the start of the nineteenth century;
- the longest period of constraint on public service spending, now expected to last at least 15 years. (*Note: such austerity in public spending terms can lead to H/H's having to spend more of their own money on services that would normally have been paid for by the state.*)


Chart 6: Real income measures, 1977 to 2016, Household disposable income and inequality in the UK (ONS - 10/01/17)



The five charts that follow illustrate the general picture that is emerging from most of the key academic research bodies working in this area.

Chart 7: HDI by income quintile: Household disposable income and inequality in the UK (ONS - 10/01/17)

Figure 2: Growth in median equivalised household disposable income, financial year ending 2008 to financial year ending 2016



#### Note on BHC (Before Housing Costs) and AHC (After Housing Costs)


Many of the illustrations used by commentators on changes in HDI show changes in terms of two different measures, BHC and AHC. The former relates to household income before any adjustment is made for the high and fairly constant element of housing, which includes mortgage interest payments, public and private rentals and housing benefits. AHC is the position after such costs have been adjusted for. In the main we look here at figures in BHC terms, since housing costs clearly have a significant impact on affordability issues in general.

As can be seen from Chart 9 the shift from BHC to AFC can have a notable impact on the outcome.

Chart 8: Income change by type of household:

(Source for Charts 8 to 10: Incomes and inequality - the last decade and the next Parliament, IFS Briefing Note BN202)

Figure 2. Changes in real median income by age group before and after housing costs have been deducted (BHC and AHC), 2007-08 to 2016-17



Note and sources: See Figure 1. Pensioners are defined as those aged 65 or above; other working aged are defined as those aged between 31 and 64; and young adults are defined as those aged 22 to 30.

Chart 9: Change in income between 2007-08 and 2016-17 at selected percentiles, before and after housing costs have been deducted





Chart 10: Projected change in income between 2016-17 and 2021-22 at selected percentiles, before and after housing costs have been deducted



Average annual real-terms growth in equivalised after-housing costs incomes: working-age households



Source: RF analysis using OBR, *Economic and Fiscal Outlook* and the IPPR tax/benefit model

Chart 11: **Ending Austerity?** Resolution Foundation, July 2017

Looking at the period since 2013-14 (to 2015-16):

- Chart 7 shows that the wealthier the H/H the worse off the change in HDI, although all grew. This is a similar picture to what happened between 2007-08 to 2013-14, although not all H/H's experienced rising HDI over this earlier period;
- Chart 8 show a similar picture, with H/H's doing worse over the earlier time period, but this time it is pensioner H/H's that do best and young adult H/H's that do worst;
- Chart 9 shows the position for H/H's by income level before housing costs (BHC) and after housing costs (AHC). The biggest change when moving from BHC to AHC is for the poorest and richest H/H's but the changes are in opposite directions. The position of richer H/H's improves, reflecting the removal of the fall in mortgage interest payments after 2007, while the position for poorer H/H's worsens, likely reflecting the impact of removing housing related benefits, which were growing initially before being capped later on. *(Note: To clarify on this point, if, for example, HDI for richer H/H's had remained flat over this period but mortgage payments had gone down, then if we exclude these housing costs the remaining HDI will have risen.)*

Looking at the period post 2016/17:

- Chart 10 shows growing inequality as the poorest H/H's are worst hit, with falling HDI, while the richest do best, with growing HDI. Once again, moving from BHC to AHC significantly worsens the outcome for the poorest H/H's but this time improves it for richer H/H's;
- Chart 11 highlights how the picture changes if pensioner H/H's are excluded. This results in almost half of working age H/H's suffering a decline in HDI, in AHC terms.

Most **forecasters** expect no increase in HDI in 2017 and little in 2018, although the range of outcomes in 2018 is quite wide (see Table A2 in Annex A). Given the range of possible outcomes for the key components that determines HDI then it is not surprising that the uncertainty over future HDI growth patterns is even greater.

Beyond HDI, there are further impacts that could affect affordability over time. For example, **wealth effects** are also having an impact on living standards, in particular in relation to older H/H's being 'housing rich' and younger H/H's being 'housing poor', 'pension poor' and 'education indebted'. At present there is little prospect of these trends ending or reversing in coming years.

Overall, the prospects for growth of HDI can be characterised as:

- continuing to be poor, compared with historical growth rates, and so no return to rising living standards across the board;
- the poorest H/H's suffering the most, in contrast to recent experience;
- a return to a wider range of outcomes across H/H's than has been seen since 2007/08;
- uncertainty due to a wide range of risk factors affecting: inflation; wages; employment and benefits.

This last point is developed further in the next section.

## Uncertainty

Most forecasts give a single, central, outcome for whatever economic variable they are looking at. However, at times of great uncertainty, when 'normal' relationships no longer apply, such 'central' forecasts can give a false sense of security.

The current economic landscape remains highly uncertain and so more attention than normal needs to be paid to outlying potential outcomes.

Some of the risk related uncertainties are now long-standing (for example, historically low post financial crisis economic growth rates seen in many countries, including the UK and Scotland), while some are relatively new (for example, the impact of Brexit).

What is particularly worrying is the breakdown in what economists had thought to be reasonable solid relationships which makes policy setting much more difficult. Some key examples include:

- the slowdown in productivity;
- the lack of inflation as employment rates reach record levels;
- the good labour market performance despite the poor GDP growth performance.

Clearly these are related but they are not well understood and so how and when they may turn around is difficult to predict.

Such uncertainty has knock on effects. For example, normally with a tightening labour market and rising inflation (as we currently have) then the Bank of England would be looking to raise interest rates from their historically low level of 0.25%. However, wages have returned to falling in real terms and GDP growth remains poor and so it is likely that interest rates will not rise, or, if they do, only very slowly.

However, the Bank of England still foresees a return to a '**new normal**' interest rate over time, estimated to be around 2.5 to 3%. This could have a significant impact on H/H's in different ways. For example, wealthier H/H's and pensioner H/H's would tend to benefit as they are net savers, while poorer and younger H/H's would suffer as they are net borrowers. The timing of such changes and the impact this could have on those currently paying very little in the way of mortgage and other interest payments is impossible to predict.

Another, unexpected and not well understood, uncertainty revolves around the **party political volatility** that has emerged in recent years. Each of Labour, the Tories, the Lib Dems, the SNP and UKIP have experienced contrasting fortunes in elections in the 2010's, as well as considerable internal volatility. This makes the outcome of key policy issues like: the future of Brexit; public sector wage caps; and working age benefits freezes, much more difficult to predict than would normally be the case. At present, in particular with regard to the two most likely UK governing parties, Labour and the Tories, such volatility seems more likely to continue than to wane.

## Scotland

Most of the UK patterns described thus far are, as far as we know, not dissimilar to those experienced in Scotland.

On **inflation**, there is no separate measure available for Scotland. However, differences between Scottish and UK rates will exist over time. For example, the emergence of rising tuition fees in England has had a significant impact on 'English' inflation. Although it has a low weight (2%) in the calculation of overall UK inflation, the education element rose by an annual average of 10% from 2007 to 2014, so, cumulatively, this will have had noticeable differential impact.

On **wages**, the best data (ASHE) is annual and only goes up to 2016. This shows that between 2013 and 2016 the overall change in full time gross average earnings is similar to that seen for the UK (both just over 5.5%), although the year by year changes have varied. *(Note: Labour Force Survey (LFS) data can show very different patterns but these are highly erratic quarter-by-quarter. For this reason it is better to concentrate on ASHE data, even though it is not so up to date.)*


On **employment**, the post recession pattern is similar to that seen for the UK (see Chart 4). However, within this general trend Scotland has not performed quite as well as the UK over periods 2007 to 2013 and 2013 to 2017.

On **household income**, Chart 12 shows the performance of Scottish median H/H income, 2013-14 to 2015-16, to be little different to that of the UK as a whole, unlike in the mid 1970s.

**Looking forward**, Scotland’s economic prospects are clouded by the on-going slowdown in relation to North Sea activity, both offshore and onshore. Privates sector services in particular have under performed vs the UK over the past couple of years, which may involve issues beyond NSO.

Chart 12: Living standards, poverty and inequality in the UK: 2017 (IFS, July 2017)

**Figure 3.10. Percentage difference between median income in each region and nation of Great Britain and overall median income, 1972 to 1976 and 2013-14 to 2015-16 (BHC)**



Note: Incomes have been measured net of taxes and benefits but before housing costs have been deducted.

Source: Authors’ calculations using the Family Expenditure Survey and Family Resources Survey, various years.

## Conclusions

In terms of affordability, as measured by changes in living standards via HDI:

### - 2013-2016 vs 2007-2013

Slightly better outcome, and more even across H/H types, but still very poor in comparison to the historical average.

The poorest H/H's doing best BHC but not AHC.

### - Post 2016 vs 2013-2016

Prospects remain fairly dismal, especially for the poorest H/H's.

Worries remain over the return of above target inflation and falling wages in real terms, as well as a freeze on benefits and poorer employment prospects.

### - Pensioner H/H's

Triple lock on pensions likely to mean that pensioner H/H's continue to have above average outcome.

### - Uncertainty

No return to 'normality' in the offing, possibly even greater risks in the short to medium term.

### - Scotland

H/H's in Scotland have performed similarly, on average, to those in the UK.

Economic prospects are currently poorer than the UK's, in part due to declining North Sea activity.

## ANNEX 1 - Forecasts for the UK economy

Table A1: Forecasts and out-turns for key UK economic variables, 2013 vs 2017

Change, %	2014		2015		2016		2017	
	F	O	F	O	F	O	F	O
<b>GDP</b>	1.8	2.9	2.3	2.2	2.7	1.8	2.8	2.0
<b>CPI</b>	2.4	1.5	2.1	0	2.0	0.7	2.0	2.4
<b>Av earnings</b>	2.7	1.4	3.6	1.9	4.0	2.2	4.0	2.6
<b>HDI</b>	0.4	0.6	1.3	3.6	1.8	1.6	2.3	0

Sources: Office for Budget Responsibility, 'Economic and Fiscal Outlook' publication's, March 2013 and March 2017.

Notes:

- 1) 'F' is the forecast made at the time of the Spring 2013 Budget, and 'O' is the actual or predicted out-turn at the time of the Spring 2017 Budget.
- 2) HDI stands for 'real household disposable income'.

Table A2: Main UK economic variable forecasts, 2018 and 2019

Change, %	2018				2019			
	High	Median	Low	OBR	High	Median	Low	OBR
<b>GDP</b>	1.5	1.3	1.2	1.5	2	1.5	0.8	1.3
<b>Interest Rate</b>	0.9	0.75	0.75	0.8	1.5	1.25	0.75	1.1
<b>CPI (Q4)</b>	2.6	2.2	1.8	2.1	3.5	2	1.5	1.9
<b>Av earnings</b>	2.9	2.6	2.2	2.7	3.3	2.9	2	2.4
<b>Employment change</b>	1.4	1	0.2	0.6	1.3	0.4	-0.1	0.4
<b>HDI</b>	2	1.2	0.7	1.2	3.3	1.4	0.5	0.5

Source: HM Treasury 'Forecasts for the UK economy, September 2018'.

Notes:

- 1) All figures show year-on-year % change, except for Interest Rate which shows the level.
- 2) HDI stands for 'real household disposable income'.
- 3) OBR (Office for Budget Responsibility) forecast was made in March 2018. All other forecasts are taken from 3 month period, mid June to mid September.